UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,130	03/31/2004	Norbert Steven Parsoneault	8209.053.NPUS01	9169
	7590 10/19/200 CHNOLOGY LLC	EXAMINER		
C/O NOVAK D	RUCE & QUIGG LLI	KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL		
HOUSTON, TX	NA, Fifty-Third  Floor K 77002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/815,130	PARSONEAULT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JUSTIN KRAUSE	3030						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" " OT OFF 44 OT 44	en 1 141 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	6.11					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•							
<ul><li>(c)     They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered or b) □ will	I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov			Apramation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-14,21 and 22</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other: Applicant's request for an interview is denied. An interview after the final rejection was previously held on September 24,								
2009, which did not result in the resolution of all issues. After a final rejection, applicant may be granted one interview. See MPEP								
713.09. A second interview at this time would not advance prose	ecution.							
/Thomas R. Hannon/	/Justin Krause/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656		Examiner, Art Unit 3656						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 1, 3-8, and 21 present new limitations which require further consideration .